

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,213	05/04/2001	Hsiao-Dong Chiang	CIG-1 9034 EXAMINER	
20808 7:	590 06/05/2006			
BROWN & MICHAELS, PC 400 M & T BANK BUILDING 118 NORTH TIOGA ST			PHAN, THAI Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ITHACA, NY			2128	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/849,213	CHIANG, HSIAO-DONG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thai Phan	2128			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.5 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
,	·	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-21,23,25,26,28-33 and 36-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-21,23,25,26 and 40</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>28-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>36-39</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 02/27/2006. Claims 15-21, 23, 25-26, 28-33, and 36-40 are pending in the current Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Michalewicz, Zbigniew, US patent publication no. 2001/0051936 A1.

As per claim 28, Michalewicz anticipates a computer implemented method for solving a global optimal solution of constrained nonlinear programming with feature limitations very identical to the claimed invention. According to Michalewicz, the method includes steps

Finding all feasible components of the constrained nonlinear programming problem ([0010], [0011], [0027]),

Finding local optimal solutions for the constrained nonlinear programming problem in each feasible component ([0031]-[0036]),

Choosing a global optimal solution for the nonlinear programming problem from the local optimal solution ([0010]-[0011], [0031]-[0073], [0101], [0102]),

And displaying the global solution of the nonlinear programming problem with imposed constraints.

As per claim 29, Michalewicz discloses paths connected feasible or constrained problem and looking for other path for parallel search processing and escaping the connected path in order to obtain a global solution (0013]-[0014]).

As per claims 30-33, Michalewicz discloses a process for searching a stable equilibrium of a non-linear dynamical system and setting a search path as claimed for the feasible solution ([0013], [0031]-[0094]).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 36-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 2. Claims 15-21, 23, 25, 26, 40 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 28-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,213 Page 4

Art Unit: 2128

1. US patent no. 5,784,596, issued to Melamed et al, on July 1998

- 2. US patent no. 6,934,931, issued to Plumer et al, on Aug. 2005
- 3. US patent no. 7,043,309, issued to Jackson et al, on May 2006
- 4. US patent no. 7,050,953, issued to Chiang et al, on May 2006
- 5. US patent publication no. 2004/02025036, issued to Prabhu et al, on Oct. 2004
- 2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-3783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,213 Page 5

Art Unit: 2128

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on 571-272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

May 24, 2006

Thai 🖺han

Patent Examiner

Au: 2128